arXiv:1211.0019v2 [hep-th] 15 Nov 2012

Preprint typeset in JHEP style - HYPER VERSION

UCSD-PTH-12-15

The Seiberg-Witten Kähler Potential as a Two-Sphere Partition Function

Daniel S. Park[1] **and Jaewon Song**[2]

[1] *Simons Center for Geometry and Physics*
Stony Brook University
Stony Brook, NY 11794-3636, USA

[2] *Department of Physics*
University of California, San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093, USA

`dpark` at `scgp.stonybrook.edu`, `jsong` at `physics.ucsd.edu`

ABSTRACT: Recently it has been shown that the two-sphere partition function of a gauged linear sigma model of a Calabi-Yau manifold yields the exact quantum Kähler potential of the Kähler moduli space of that manifold. Since four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theories can be engineered by non-compact Calabi-Yau threefolds, this implies that it is possible to obtain exact gauge theory Kähler potentials from two-sphere partition functions. In this paper, we demonstrate that the Seiberg-Witten Kähler potential can indeed be obtained as a two-sphere partition function. To be precise, we extract the quantum Kähler metric of 4D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $SU(2)$ Super-Yang-Mills theory by taking the field theory limit of the Kähler parameters of the $\mathcal{O}(-2,-2)$ bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. We expect this method of computing the Kähler potential to generalize to other four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theories that can be geometrically engineered by toric Calabi-Yau threefolds.

Contents

1. Introduction and Summary **1**

2. The S^2 Partition Function and $SU(2)$ Super-Yang-Mills Theory **3**
- 2.1 The Setup 3
- 2.2 Summary of Calculation 7

3. Generalization to Other $\mathcal{N}=2$ Gauge Theories **10**

A. Evaluation of the Partition Function **12**

---

1. Introduction and Summary

Exact S^2 partition functions of two-dimensional gauge theories with $(2,2)$ supersymmetry have recently been computed using localization techniques [1, 2]. By observing the properties of these partition functions for gauged linear sigma models (GLSM's) [3–7] of Calabi-Yau threefolds, it was conjectured [8] — and later proven [9] — that the S^2 partition computes the exact quantum Kähler potential of the Kähler moduli space of the manifold. More precisely, the S^2 partition function computes the inner-product $\langle 0|\bar{0}\rangle$, where $|0\rangle$ ($|\bar{0}\rangle$) are the topological (anti-topological) ground states of the A-twisted GLSM corresponding to the unit operator, respectively [10]. In the case that the GLSM flows to a non-linear sigma model (NLSM) of a Calabi-Yau manifold, this implies that

$$Z_{S^2} = e^{-K}\,, \tag{1.1}$$

where Z_{S^2} is the S^2 partition function of the GLSM and K is the quantum Kähler potential of the Kähler moduli space of the Calabi-Yau manifold.

It follows that it should be possible to obtain the Kähler potential of $\mathcal{N}=2$ gauge theories in four dimensions by computing S^2 partition functions, since these theories can be engineered using non-compact Calabi-Yau threefolds [11–13]. In particular, the gauge theory Kähler potential can be obtained from the string partition function on the corresponding Calabi-Yau threefold by taking a limit of the Kähler parameters of the threefold in which gravity decouples. In this paper, we check that this is indeed the case for 4D $SU(2)$ Super-Yang-Mills theory (SYM) that is engineered by the non-compact Calabi-Yau threefold $\mathcal{O}(-2,-2)\to\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1$ [12]. That is, we find that K_{SW} — the Seiberg-Witten Kähler potential of $\mathcal{N}=2$ $SU(2)$ SYM [14] — can be obtained from Z_{S^2} — the S^2 partition function of the GLSM of $\mathcal{O}(-2,-2)\to\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1$ — when we take the Kähler parameters of the manifold to the "field theory limit" [11–13].

Let us be precise. The $\mathcal{O}(-2,-2)$ bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ — which we denote by $\mathcal{M}$ through the rest of the paper — can be thought of as a blow-up of an A_1 singularity trivially fibered over a $\mathbb{P}^1$. In this picture, one of the $\mathbb{P}^1$'s of $\mathcal{M}$ is the base and the other is the fiber obtained by resolving the A_1 singularity. The manifold has two quantum Kähler parameters

$$t_b \equiv 2\pi\xi_b - i\theta_b, \quad t_f \equiv 2\pi\xi_f - i\theta_f, \tag{1.2}$$

that control the size of the base/fiber $\mathbb{P}^1$, respectively. These parameters, from the point of view of the GLSM, are Fayet-Iliopoulos (FI) parameters of the worldsheet $U(1)$ gauge symmetries. The S^2 partition function of the GLSM are functions of these parameters.

There is, however, a subtlety in computing the partition function of a GLSM that is expected to flow to a non-linear sigma model of a non-compact manifold in the infra-red limit. Since there is a non-compact direction in the geometry, the partition function is expected to diverge. A way of controlling this divergence is to turn on a small R-charge along the non-compact direction. In this paper, we compute the S^2 partition function of the GLSM of $\mathcal{M}$ deformed by the R-charge $\mathfrak{q}$, *i.e.,*

$$Z_{S^2}(t_b, t_f; \mathfrak{q})\,. \tag{1.3}$$

Meanwhile, the Seiberg-Witten Kähler potential [14] of $\mathcal{N}=2$ $SU(2)$ SYM is a function of the gauge invariant modulus

$$u = \langle \mathrm{Tr}\,\phi^2 \rangle \tag{1.4}$$

— where ϕ is the adjoint scalar field in the $SU(2)$ vector multiplet — and the renormalization scale Λ, *i.e.,*

$$K_{SW}(u, \Lambda)\,. \tag{1.5}$$

The main result of this paper is that

$$\lim_{\mathfrak{q}\to 0^+}\left[\frac{1}{\mathfrak{q}^2}\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\left(-\frac{\ln\epsilon}{\epsilon^{2-4\mathfrak{q}}}\partial\bar{\partial}\ln Z_{S^2}(t_b,t_f;\mathfrak{q})\right)\right] = -\pi\partial\bar{\partial}K_{SW}(u,\Lambda) \tag{1.6}$$

if we identify

$$\begin{aligned} q_b &\equiv e^{-t_b} = \epsilon^4\Lambda^4 \\ q_f &\equiv e^{-t_f} = \frac{1}{4} - \epsilon^2 u\,. \end{aligned} \tag{1.7}$$

The partial derivatives in (1.6) are taken with respect to u. Equation (1.7) defines the field theory limit of the Calabi-Yau threefold. $\mathcal{N}=2$ $SU(2)$ Super-Yang-Mills theory can be engineered from Calabi-Yau manifold $\mathcal{M}$ as its Kähler parameters approach the point

$$q_b = 0, \quad q_f = \frac{1}{4} \tag{1.8}$$

in the moduli space with scaling (1.7) as $\epsilon \to 0$.

Although we have restricted our attention to $SU(2)$ Super-Yang-Mills theory in this paper, we expect our strategy of computing the quantum Kähler potential to be generalizable to other $\mathcal{N}=2$ gauge theories that can be geometrically engineered from toric

Calabi-Yau threefolds [12, 13]. If our expectations are correct, the S^2 partition would provide yet another way [15–19] of computing the Kähler potential for such gauge theories. We elaborate on issues related to generalizing our computation to other theories at the end of this paper.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we summarize the calculation of Z_{S^2} and verify equation (1.6). In the process, we propose the R-charge assignments of the fields of the GLSM one needs to use to obtain the Kähler potential of the non-compact Calabi-Yau manifold $\mathcal{M}$. We use the correspondence between A-twisted states of sigma models of non-compact toric manifolds and compact toric hypersurfaces presented in [20, 21] to support our prescription. We also discuss the field theory limit (1.7) in more detail. In section 3 we lay out how S^2 partition functions can be utilized to compute the Kähler potential of other $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theories that can be engineered by toric Calabi-Yau threefolds and describe issues that must be addressed along the way. A detailed account of the calculation of Z_{S^2} can be found in the appendix.

2. The S^2 Partition Function and $SU(2)$ Super-Yang-Mills Theory

In this section, we compute the S^2 partition function for the gauged linear sigma model of $\mathcal{M}$ in the field theory limit, and extract the Seiberg-Witten Kähler metric. In section 2.1 we describe the gauged linear sigma model of manifold $\mathcal{M}$ and write down its S^2 partition function according to [1, 2]. In particular, we argue that we must assign all chiral fields of the GLSM to have R-charge zero in order for it to flow to the sigma model of the non-compact Calabi-Yau manifold $\mathcal{M}$ in the infra-red limit. In section 2.2 we evaluate the S^2 partition function in the field theory limit (1.7) and verify that it is related to the Seiberg-Witten Kähler metric [14] by (1.6).

2.1 The Setup

In this section, we write down the gauged linear sigma model of $\mathcal{M}$ and describe its field theory limit. We then present the expression for the S^2 partition function and justify the choices of R-charges we use for the chiral fields of the GLSM. Most of the contents of this section are thoroughly explained, among other places, in [1–3, 8, 9, 11, 12] — we have merely stated them in a way that is convenient for our purposes.

The two-dimensional gauged linear sigma model of $\mathcal{M}$ — the $\mathcal{O}(-2,-2)$ bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ — is given by a $\mathcal{N} = (2,2)$ supersymmetric gauge theory with gauge group $U(1) \times U(1)$ and five chiral multiplets.[1] The charges of the chiral multiplets under the gauge group is summarized in table 1. The two $U(1)$'s each correspond to the $\mathbb{C}^*$ action that acts on the projective coordinates of each $\mathbb{P}^1$.

It is useful to think of $\mathcal{M}$ as a resolution of an A_1 singularity fibered over a $\mathbb{P}^1$. Let us denote one of the $\mathbb{P}^1$'s as the base and the other as the fiber. The real part of the two FI parameters for each $U(1)$ gauge symmetry

$$t_b \equiv 2\pi\xi_b - i\theta_b, \quad t_f \equiv 2\pi\xi_f - i\theta_f, \tag{2.1}$$

---

[1] Gauged linear sigma models for various manifolds have been constructed in [3–7]. For a pedagogical review of GLSM's, see [22].

control the size of the base and fiber $\mathbb{P}^1$ respectively. These FI parameters are the two quantum Kähler parameters of the Calabi-Yau threefold.

$\mathcal{N} = 2$ $SU(2)$ Super-Yang-Mills theory is geometrically engineered by "compactifying" type IIA string theory on this manifold and then by taking the Kähler parameters to a certain limit [11–13]. In order to decouple gravity, one must take the size of the base — controlled by t_b — to be infinite, but at the same time scale the size of the fiber — controlled by t_f — appropriately so that the gauge coupling is finite. In this scaling limit, t_b sets the renormalization scale of the gauge theory while t_f controls the mass of the W bosons, as the W bosons come from quantizing D2-branes wrapping the fibral $\mathbb{P}^1$ [23, 24]. We can parametrize the mass of the W bosons by the gauge invariant Coulomb branch parameter

$$u = \langle \mathrm{Tr}\, \phi^2 \rangle \tag{2.2}$$

where ϕ is the adjoint scalar field in the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $SU(2)$ vector multiplet.

The field theory limit can be obtained by setting

$$q_b \equiv e^{-t_b} = \epsilon^4 \Lambda^4 \tag{2.3}$$

as in [12], and by taking ϵ to be small. It turns out that one must take

$$q_f \equiv e^{-t_f} = \frac{1}{4} - \epsilon^2 u \tag{2.4}$$

accordingly. Note that this is the same scaling limit discussed in [25]. One might have expected u to parametrize the deviation of the geometry from the point $t_f = 0$ — when the fibral $\mathbb{P}^1$ shrinks to zero size, where the full $SU(2)$ symmetry of the classical theory is presumably recovered. We, however, find that the "classical singular point" one must expand around in our case is located at $q_f = 1/4$.[2] As we show in the next section, the quantum Kähler potential computed by the S^2 partition function is written as an expansion in

$$\frac{q_b}{(1 - 4q_f)^2}\,. \tag{2.5}$$

Since we know that the instanton contributions to the Kähler potential of the $SU(2)$ theory come in powers of Λ^4/u^2 [14, 26], the assignment (1.7) is a natural starting point.

Now we are almost in a position to compute the field theory limit of the S^2 partition function of the GLSM for $\mathcal{M}$. The S^2 partition function of a two-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$ abelian gauge theory with gauge group $U(1)^N$ and A chiral multiplets can be computed as follows.[3] In the absence of a twisted superpotential, the partition function obtained via localization is given by

$$\sum_{\{m_n\} \in \mathbb{Z}^N} e^{-i \sum_n m_n \theta_n} \prod_n \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\sigma_n}{2\pi} \right) e^{-4\pi i \sum_n \xi_n \sigma_n} \prod_a Z_a(\{\sigma_n\}, \{m_n\}; \mathfrak{q}_a, \{q_{an}\}) \tag{2.6}$$

---

[2] By "classical singular point" we refer to a singular point in the classical moduli space of the four-dimensional gauge theory, which in our case is $SU(2)$ SYM. We note that this point is not singular with respect to the quantum metric on the moduli space [14]. Instead, the quantum moduli space develops new singularities where the monopoles or dyons become massless.

[3] We do not elaborate on the beautiful physics behind the computation of Z_{S^2} here as it has already been explained eloquently in the original papers [1, 2].

	B_1	B_2	F_1	F_2	P
$U(1)_b$	1	1	0	0	-2
$U(1)_f$	0	0	1	1	-2

Table 1: Charges of the five chiral multiplets of the GLSM of the $\mathcal{O}(-2,-2)$ bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. The chiral multiplets B_i can be thought of as projective coordinates of the base $\mathbb{P}^1$, while F_i can be thought of those of the fiber. P is the $\mathcal{O}(-2,-2)$ bundle coordinate.

where the n index runs over $1, \cdots, N$ and the a index runs over $1, \cdots, A$. We have used $\{x_n\}$ as a shorthand notation for the N-tuple $(x_1, \cdots, x_N)$. The parameters $2\pi\xi_n$ and $-\theta_n$ are the real and imaginary part of the FI parameter

$$t_n = 2\pi\xi_n - i\theta_n \tag{2.7}$$

of $U(1)_n$. Z_a is a function of the parameters $\{\sigma_n\}, \{m_n\}$ as well as the charges $\mathfrak{q}_a$ and q_{an} of the a'th chiral multiplet Φ_a. We have used q_{an} to denote the charge of Φ_a under the n'th gauge group $U(1)_n$, and $\mathfrak{q}_a$ to denote its R-charge. Z_a is then given by

$$Z_a = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\mathfrak{q}_a}{2} - i\sum_n q_{an}\sigma_n - \frac{1}{2}\sum_n q_{an}m_n)}{\Gamma(1 - \frac{\mathfrak{q}_a}{2} + i\sum_n q_{an}\sigma_n - \frac{1}{2}\sum_n q_{an}m_n)} \,. \tag{2.8}$$

What remains to be understood is what the R-charges of the chiral fields of the GLSM should be in order for it to flow to $\mathcal{M}$ in the infra-red limit of the theory. A naive prescription would be to assign all R-charges to be zero, as this would prevent any kind of superpotential from being written down. We claim that this naive prescription is correct.

Our claim follows directly from [21] since Z_{S^2} computes the inner-product between $|0\rangle$ and $|\bar{0}\rangle$ — the topological and anti-topological ground states of the A-twisted GLSM corresponding to the unit operator [8,9]. To elaborate, our prescription follows from the relation between A-twisted ground states of compact and non-compact Calabi-Yau manifolds discussed in [21]. Let us illustrate this relation in our case.

The $U(1)^2$ gauge theory with the matter content given in table 1 flows to a NLSM on a compact Calabi-Yau hypersurface of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ — which we denote by $\mathcal{M}_c$ — in the presence of a gauge invariant superpotential

$$PG(B_i, F_i)\,, \tag{2.9}$$

in the "geometric phase", *i.e.,* when t_b and t_f are large. Here G is a generic polynomial of homogenous degree two in each of the B and F fields. In order for the superpotential of this theory to be of this form, the R charges of the chiral fields must be given as in table 2. The S^2 partition function of this compact theory is computed according to the formula (2.6). As elaborated in [8], if one assumes that the S^2 partition is the exponential of the Kähler metric of the moduli space as in (1.1)

$$Z_{S^2} = e^{-K}\,,$$

one can observe that varying the charge assignments b and f only act as Kähler transformations

$$K \to K + f + \bar{f} \tag{2.10}$$

	B_1	B_2	F_1	F_2	P
$\mathcal{M}_c$	b	b	f	f	$2-2b-2f$

Table 2: R-charge assignments of the chiral fields used for computing the quantum Kähler potential of the manifold $\mathcal{M}_c$.

on the Kähler potential — it does not affect the computation of the quantum Kähler metric. This should be the case, as the R-charge of all gauge invariant operators stay invariant under different assignments of b and f in table 2. Therefore one may take these charges to have a very small, but positive value. The S^2 partition function is then given by

$$\begin{aligned} Z^c_{S^2} = &\sum_{m_f, m_b \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-im_f\theta_f - im_b\theta_b} \int \frac{d\sigma_b d\sigma_f}{(2\pi)^2} e^{-4\pi i \xi_f \sigma_f - 4\pi i \xi_b \sigma_b} \\ &\times \frac{\Gamma(-i\sigma_f - \frac{m_f}{2})^2}{\Gamma(1 + i\sigma_f - \frac{m_f}{2})^2} \frac{\Gamma(-i\sigma_b - \frac{m_b}{2})^2}{\Gamma(1 + i\sigma_b - \frac{m_b}{2})^2} \frac{\Gamma(1 + 2i\sigma_b + 2i\sigma_f + m_b + m_f)}{\Gamma(-2i\sigma_b - 2i\sigma_f + m_b + m_f)} , \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

where the contour of integration for σ_b and σ_f are along the real axis with a small "jump" over the origin. The jump is made to push the poles located at the origin below the contour of integration, as we are assigning small positive R-charges to the fields B_i and F_i.

The A-twisted state $|0\rangle_c$ of the compact theory on $\mathcal{M}_c$ and the A-twisted state $|0\rangle$ of the non-compact theory on $\mathcal{M}$ are related by [21]

$$|0\rangle_c = \delta |0\rangle \tag{2.12}$$

where the operator δ is defined to be

$$\delta = 2\Sigma_b + 2\Sigma_f \, . \tag{2.13}$$

Σ_b and Σ_f are the field strengths of the gauge groups $U(1)_b$ and $U(1)_f$ respectively. Therefore the inner-product between the topological and anti-topological A-twisted states of the compact theory and the non-compact theory are related by

$${}_c\langle 0|\bar{0}\rangle_c = \langle 0|\delta\bar{\delta}|\bar{0}\rangle \, . \tag{2.14}$$

Now the right-hand-side of this equation can be obtained from $\langle 0|\bar{0}\rangle$ by taking two derivatives with respect to the FI parameter $T \equiv (2t_b + 2t_f)$, *i.e.*,

$$Z^c_{S^2} = \langle 0|\delta\bar{\delta}|\bar{0}\rangle \propto \frac{\partial^2}{\partial T \partial \bar{T}} \langle 0|\bar{0}\rangle \, . \tag{2.15}$$

It is easy to verify that the expression

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{S^2} = &\sum_{m_f, m_b \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-im_f\theta_f - im_b\theta_b} \int \frac{d\sigma_b d\sigma_f}{(2\pi)^2} e^{-4\pi i \xi_f \sigma_f - 4\pi i \xi_b \sigma_b} \\ &\times \frac{\Gamma(-i\sigma_f - \frac{m_f}{2})^2}{\Gamma(1 + i\sigma_f - \frac{m_f}{2})^2} \frac{\Gamma(-i\sigma_b - \frac{m_b}{2})^2}{\Gamma(1 + i\sigma_b - \frac{m_b}{2})^2} \frac{\Gamma(2i\sigma_b + 2i\sigma_f + m_b + m_f)}{\Gamma(1 - 2i\sigma_b - 2i\sigma_f + m_b + m_f)} , \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

with the contour of integration for σ_b and σ_f along the real axis with a small jump over the origin satisfies

$$Z^c_{S^2} \propto \frac{\partial^2}{\partial T \partial \bar{T}} Z_{S^2}\,, \tag{2.17}$$

upon comparison with (2.11). The equation (2.16) comes from setting the R-charges of all the chiral fields to be zero, and hence our claim. We note that proportionality constants in the equations (2.15) and (2.17) are irrelevant when computing the quantum Kähler metric of the moduli space and therefore can be ignored.

There is one more issue that must be addressed when computing the S^2 partition function for the GLSM of $\mathcal{M}$. There is an ambiguity in computing the S^2 partition function (2.16) that must be resolved. Unlike in the compact case, the third factor of the integrand becomes singular at the origin of the complex σ_b and σ_f plane. One must be careful how to "split the poles" of the integrand at the origin, as it affects the value of the integral. The correct way to deal with this is to assign a small positive R-charge to all the chiral fields and take the contour of integration to be along the real axis [1]. An efficient way to implement this prescription is to assign a small positive R-charge $2\mathfrak{q}$ to the field P, and take the contour of integration for σ_b and σ_f to be along the real axis with a small "jump" over the origin. In this case, the R-charges of all gauge invariant operators become positive multiples of $2\mathfrak{q}$ and hence positive — this is a necessary condition for the theory to be unitary [1,2]. The S^2 partition function for $\mathcal{M}$ can be recovered in the $\mathfrak{q} \to 0^+$ limit.

We can motivate introducting the R-charge $\mathfrak{q}$ in another way. $\mathcal{M}$ is a non-compact manifold and hence has a divergent direction. We see in the next section that indeed (2.16) is divergent in the geometric phase. In order to compute the quantum Kähler potential of this theory, we must regulate this divergence. This task can be achieved by giving the P field a small R-charge $2\mathfrak{q}$ and observing the behavior of Z_{S^2} as we take $\mathfrak{q}$ to be small.

We therefore compute the S^2 partition function

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{S^2} = &\sum_{m_f, m_b} e^{-im_f\theta_f - im_b\theta_b} \int_{-\infty+i0^+}^{\infty+i0^+} \frac{d\sigma_b d\sigma_f}{(2\pi)^2} e^{-4\pi i \xi_f \sigma_f - 4\pi i \xi_b \sigma_b} \\ &\times \frac{\Gamma(-i\sigma_f - \frac{m_f}{2})^2}{\Gamma(1+i\sigma_f - \frac{m_f}{2})^2} \frac{\Gamma(-i\sigma_b - \frac{m_b}{2})^2}{\Gamma(1+i\sigma_b - \frac{m_b}{2})^2} \frac{\Gamma(\mathfrak{q} + 2i\sigma_b + 2i\sigma_f + m_b + m_f)}{\Gamma(1 - \mathfrak{q} - 2i\sigma_b - 2i\sigma_f + m_b + m_f)} \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

for small positive $\mathfrak{q}$ in the field theory limit (1.7)

$$\begin{aligned} q_b &\equiv e^{-t_b} = \epsilon^4 \Lambda^4 \\ q_f &\equiv e^{-t_f} = \frac{1}{4} - \epsilon^2 u\,. \end{aligned}$$

The contour of integration is taken to be slightly above the real axes of the complex σ_b and σ_f planes. As we see in the next section, the quantum Kähler potential of $SU(2)$ SYM can be extracted from this partition function by examining its the leading order behavior of in ϵ and $\mathfrak{q}$.

2.2 Summary of Calculation

In this section, we summarize the calculation of the S^2 partition function (2.18) of the gauged linear sigma model for $\mathcal{M}$. We have presented a detailed account of the calculation in the appendix. We comment on peculiar aspects of Z_{S^2} when we take $\mathfrak{q} < 0$ at the end of this section.

We evaluate (2.18) in the field theory limit (1.7) in two steps following [12]:

1. We first evaluate (2.18) in the large volume — or geometric — limit, where we take the Kähler parameters of the manifold to be large. The partition function in this limit is written as an expansion of q_f and q_b defined in (1.7), as these parameters are small in the large volume limit.
2. We complete the sum over q_f and take the field theory limit to obtain the gauge theory Kähler potential.

Let us explain the second step in more detail. To arrive at the field theory limit, we must take the base of the manifold to be large — *i.e.,* take q_b to be small — but at the same time shrink the fiber near a classical singular point in the moduli space. The limit of taking the base to be large, more precisely taking [12]

$$q_b = \epsilon^4 \Lambda^4 \tag{2.19}$$

for small ϵ is compatible with the large volume limit. The field theory limit for the size of the fiber, however, is more subtle. By summing the series with respect to q_f in the large volume limit, Z_{S^2} can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{S^2} = \frac{d^2}{d\alpha d\beta} \Bigg[& \left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{\sin \pi\alpha}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\pi\beta}{\sin \pi\beta}\right)^2 (q_f \bar{q}_f)^{-\alpha} (q_b \bar{q}_b)^{-\beta} \left(\frac{\sin \pi(\mathfrak{q} - 2\alpha - 2\beta)}{\pi}\right) \\ & \left(\sum_{n_f \geq 0} \frac{f_{n_f - \beta + \frac{\mathfrak{q}}{2}, \alpha}(\bar{q}_f)}{\Gamma(1 + n_f - \beta)^2} \bar{q}_b^{n_f} \right) \left(\sum_{p_f \geq 0} \frac{f_{p_f - \beta + \frac{\mathfrak{q}}{2}, \alpha}(q_f)}{\Gamma(1 + p_f - \beta)^2} q_b^{p_f} \right) \Bigg]_{\alpha = \beta = 0}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

where $f_{p_f - \beta + \mathfrak{q}/2, \alpha}$ is a hypergeometric function defined in (A.12). Note that (2.20) is symmetric under the exchange of q_b and q_f as expected. The function $f_{p_f - \beta + \mathfrak{q}/2, \alpha}$ has a singularity at $q_f = 1/4$, *i.e.,*

$$f_{p_f - \beta + \mathfrak{q}/2, \alpha} \propto (1 - 4q_f)^{1/2 - 2p_f - \mathfrak{q} + 2\beta} \tag{2.21}$$

as $q_f \to 1/4$. It is clear that when q_b is small and q_f is near $1/4$, Z_{S^2} has a series expansion in

$$\frac{q_b}{(1 - 4q_f)^2} \tag{2.22}$$

at leading order in these small parameters. Therefore we see that $q_f = 1/4$ is the classical singular point of the gauge theory — the individual terms of the instanton expansion are singular around this point. It follows that the Coulomb branch parameter u of the gauge theory should parametrize the deviation of a point in the moduli space from this classical singular point. The correct gauge theory limit is thus obtained by

$$q_f = \frac{1}{4} - \epsilon^2 u \,, \tag{2.23}$$

where the S^2 partition function has an expansion in Λ^4/u^2, as desired [14].

It is interesting that the two-sphere partition function is more naturally written in terms of the "mirror coordinate" u which parametrizes the complex structure of the Seiberg-Witten curve — the mirror geometry of the manifold $\mathcal{M}$ [12]. An analogous observation can be made about GLSM's of compact Calabi-Yau threefolds as well [8]. In this reference, the authors compute Gromov-Witten invariants of compact Calabi-Yau threefolds from the S^2 partition function. In order to do so, a coordinate transform is implemented. The Gromov-Witten invariant of a compact Calabi-Yau manifold M can be extracted by using a different set of Kähler coordinates $q'_l = e^{-t'_l}$ from $q_l = e^{-t_l}$, which is built into the gauged linear sigma model of M — recall that t_l are the FI parameters of the two-dimensional gauge theory. It turns out that the relation between t_l and t'_l is given by the mirror map — the Kähler coordinates built into the GLSM have a natural interpretation in terms of the classical geometry of the mirror manifold of M, rather than that of the manifold M itself. In our example this "mirror map" gives the relation between the "IR" coordinate u and the "UV" coordinate a, where a is the vacuum expectation value of the adjoint scalar $\langle\phi\rangle = \mathrm{diag}(a, -a)$ in the $SU(2)$ vector multiplet.

Evaluating the integral for Z_{S^2} in the field theory limit, the small ϵ expansion of the full partition function can be written as

$$Z_{S^2} = Z_L \ln\epsilon + Z_0 + \epsilon^{2-4\mathsf{q}} Z_{2-4\mathsf{q}} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)\,. \tag{2.24}$$

Since q is positive, we can conveniently isolate $Z_{2-4\mathsf{q}}$ apart from the order ϵ^2 terms. In the $\mathsf{q} \to 0^+$ limit — where the GLSM becomes non-compact — the terms Z_L (A.21) and Z_0 (A.22) diverge as

$$Z_L \sim -\frac{16}{\mathsf{q}^2}, \quad Z_0 \sim \frac{8}{\mathsf{q}^3}\,, \tag{2.25}$$

while $Z_{2-4\mathsf{q}}$ approaches the Seiberg-Witten Kähler potential

$$Z_{2-4\mathsf{q}} \sim -16\pi K_{SW}\,. \tag{2.26}$$

We can thus observe the divergent behavior of Z_{S^2} in the non-compact limit.

The quantum Kähler potential of the theory — according to [8,9] — is given by

$$-\ln Z_{S^2} = -\ln(Z_L \ln\epsilon + Z_0) - \frac{\epsilon^{2-4\mathsf{q}}}{\ln\epsilon}\frac{Z_{2-4\mathsf{q}}}{Z_L} + (\text{sub-leading in } \epsilon)\,. \tag{2.27}$$

This near-field theory — or small ϵ — behavior of the Kähler potential is consistent with that observed in mirror symmetry calculations in the field theory limit [27,28]. The leading term drops out when computing the quantum Kähler metric of the gauge theory moduli space, as both Z_L and Z_0 are independent of u. Hence the $Z_{2-4\mathsf{q}}$ term is the leading term in the $\epsilon \to 0$ limit for the Kähler metric:

$$-\partial_u\partial_{\bar{u}} \ln Z_{S^2} = -\frac{\epsilon^{2-4\mathsf{q}}}{\ln\epsilon}\frac{\partial_u\partial_{\bar{u}} Z_{2-4\mathsf{q}}}{Z_L} + (\text{sub-leading in } \epsilon)\,. \tag{2.28}$$

We must eventually take q to zero to recover the partition function for the non-compact manifold $\mathcal{M}$. As shown in the appendix

$$-\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\left(-\frac{\ln\epsilon}{\epsilon^{2-4\mathsf{q}}}\right)\partial_u\partial_{\bar{u}} \ln Z_{S^2} = \pi\mathsf{q}^2 \partial_u\partial_{\bar{u}} K_{SW}(u,\Lambda) + (\text{sub-leading in } \mathsf{q})\,, \tag{2.29}$$

and hence our main result.

Let us end this section by commenting on the behavior of the S^2 partition function when $\mathfrak{q}$ is negative, *i.e.,* when we split the pole of the integrand of (2.16) in a different way. In this case, one must add to (2.24) terms that come from poles that are "pushed below" the contour of integration by taking $\mathfrak{q}$ to be negative. Z_{S^2} can be written as

$$Z_{S^2} = Z_0' + \epsilon^{-4\mathfrak{q}} Z'_{-4q} + \epsilon^2 Z_2' + \epsilon^{2-4\mathfrak{q}} Z'_{2-4\mathfrak{q}} + (\text{sub-leading in } \epsilon)\,. \tag{2.30}$$

It turns out that there is a rather miraculous cancellation of singularities such that the behavior of the leading term in this expansion is given by

$$Z_0' \to -14\zeta(3) \tag{2.31}$$

as $\mathfrak{q} \to 0$. The subleading term Z_{-4q}, however, is divergent in this limit. We note that $Z'_{2-4\mathfrak{q}}$ is modified from $Z_{2-4\mathfrak{q}}$ by terms that can be written as a sum of holomorphic and anti-holomorphic functions of u. Not only is the S^2 partition function negative for $\epsilon \to 0$ in this case, but also the Kähler metric becomes negative definite at leading order in ϵ. These pathologies should not come as a surprise, as the gauge invariant operators of the theory with negative $\mathfrak{q}$ have negative R-charge — such theories are not unitary.

3. Generalization to Other $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Gauge Theories

Since the S^2 partition can compute the exact quantum Kähler potential of the Kähler moduli space for non-compact Calabi-Yau manifolds that have a two-dimensional GLSM, it should be possible to use it for computing the quantum Kähler potential for more general gauge theories. In particular, our computations should generalize to $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theories that can be engineered by toric Calabi-Yau threefolds [12, 13, 16–18]. More precisely, we expect that the quantum Kähler potential of a gauge theory T geometrically engineered by a toric Calabi-Yau threefold M can be obtained through the following steps:

1. Construct the gauged linear sigma model of M and deform the theory by small R-charges $\mathfrak{q}_i$ to regulate the non-compact directions.
2. Identify the correct field theory limit parametrized by small parameters ϵ_a.
3. Compute the S^2 partition function Z_{S^2} of the gauged linear sigma model.
4. Obtain the gauge theory Kähler potential — defined up to Kähler transformations — by observing the leading order behavior of Z_{S^2} in the small parameters $\mathfrak{q}_i$ and ϵ_a.

These steps straightforwardly follow from geometric engineering and the results of [8, 9]. There are, however, some details that need to be worked out in order to actually follow through with the computation.

As we have worked with the simplest $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theory in this paper, the field theory limit of the Kähler parameters were identified with relative ease. For theories coming from more complicated manifolds, the field theory limit of the manifold must be worked out with greater care [12, 13, 16–18]. Also, the task of identifying Kähler parameters with physical observables is more involved. Let us illustrate these issues through the example of pure $SU(N)$ SYM. The Calabi-Yau threefold M that engineers $\mathcal{N}=2$ $SU(N)$ SYM is given by an A_{N-1} singularity fibered over $\mathbb{P}^1$. We have $N-1$ Kähler parameters t_f^i, $i=1,\cdots,N-1$ for the fiber and one parameter t_b for the base [12]. The field theory limit of the base coordinate, as in the case of $SU(2)$, can be easily shown to be

$$q_b = e^{-t_b} \sim (\epsilon\Lambda)^{b_0} \,. \tag{3.1}$$

The exponent of this equation is given by the coefficient of the beta function $b_0 = 2N$. In the meanwhile, finding the correct field theory limit for the fiber Kähler parameters

$$q_f^i = e^{-t_f^i} \tag{3.2}$$

is more involved. In order to find the scaling limit for the fiber coordinates, we must first identify the point in the moduli space we must expand around. Since the GLSM picks out the Kähler coordinates we must use, identifying this point is not trivial. Also, as demonstrated in the case of $SU(2)$, the quantum Kähler coordinates given by the gauged linear sigma model are naturally written in terms of the "IR" parameters — *i.e.,* the gauge invariant operators — in the field theory limit. The gauge invariant operators parameterizing the Coulomb branch of $SU(N)$ SYM are given by $u_n = \langle \mathrm{Tr}\phi^n \rangle$ with $n = 2,\cdots,N$. Finding the relation between the parameters u_n and the scaling limit of the $(N-1)$ Kähler parameters q_f^i requires some more work compared to the case of $SU(2)$.

There is also an issue with constructing the GLSM for a given manifold M — one must find the correct R-charges to assign to the chiral fields of the two-dimensional theory. For toric Calabi-Yau manifolds with associated compact Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces in the sense of [21], our argument for setting all R-charges of the chiral fields to zero holds. That is, if there exist chiral fields P_β, $\beta = 1,\cdots,l$ for the GLSM of M such that for certain R-charge assignments the most generic gauge-invariant superpotential is given by the form

$$\sum_\beta P_\beta G_\beta(X_i) \,, \tag{3.3}$$

we can repeat the argument of section 2.1 to show that all R-charges of the chiral fields should be set to zero. In this case, regulating the non-compactness is also straightforward — one can assign positive R-charges $2\mathfrak{q}_\beta$ for each P_β and eventually take the $\mathfrak{q}_\beta \to 0^+$ limit. For more general toric Calabi-Yau threefolds, we do not know how to argue that such a prescription works. It would be interesting to understand which R-charges to assign to the chiral fields of the GLSM of a non-compact Calabi-Yau threefold in general.

Acknowledgement

We would like to thank Francesco Benini, Nikolay Bobev, Koushik Balasubramanian, Peng Gao, Jaume Gomis, Ken Intriligator, Vijay Kumar, Sungjay Lee and David Morrison for useful discussions. We would especially like to thank Francesco Benini and Vijay Kumar for insightful comments on the original draft of this paper. We would also like to thank the Simons Center for Geometry and Physics and the organizers of the 2012 Summer Simons Workshop in Mathematics and Physics for their hospitality while this work was being conceived. DP thanks Wati Taylor for his support and encouragement on this work. DP is supported in part by DOE grant DE-FG02-92ER-40697. The work of JS is supported by DOE-FG03-97ER40546.

A. Evaluation of the Partition Function

In this appendix, we evaluate the integral Z_{S^2} defined in (2.18) —

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{S^2} = \sum_{m_f, m_b} e^{-im_f\theta_f - im_b\theta_b} \int \frac{d\sigma_b d\sigma_f}{(2\pi)^2} e^{-4\pi i \xi_f \sigma_f - 4\pi i \xi_b \sigma_b} \\ \times \frac{\Gamma(-i\sigma_f - \frac{m_f}{2})^2}{\Gamma(1 + i\sigma_f - \frac{m_f}{2})^2} \frac{\Gamma(-i\sigma_b - \frac{m_b}{2})^2}{\Gamma(1 + i\sigma_b - \frac{m_b}{2})^2} \frac{\Gamma(\mathfrak{q} + 2i\sigma_b + 2i\sigma_f + m_b + m_f)}{\Gamma(1 - \mathfrak{q} - 2i\sigma_b - 2i\sigma_f + m_b + m_f)} \end{aligned}$$

— and compute its leading order behavior in the field theory limit (1.7) as we take $\epsilon \to 0$. As previously stated, we define the contour of integration on the σ_f and σ_b planes to be slightly above the real axis to avoid the pole lying on the real axes. Recall that $\mathfrak{q}$ is a small positive number, *i.e.,*

$$0 < \mathfrak{q} \ll 1 \,. \tag{A.1}$$

Let us reiterate our strategy of computing Z_{S^2} in the field theory limit. We first compute the integrand in the large volume limit, *i.e.,* when ξ_b and ξ_f are large. The field theory limit involves taking ξ_b to be large. The problem is that we must eventually take a small ϵ expansion around a finite value of $t_f = 2\pi\xi_f - i\theta_f$. We do so by first summing the full expansion for Z_{S^2} valid in the large t_f limit — more precisely a $q_f = e^{-t_f}$ expansion — to obtain an expression valid for a generic value of t_f. Then we continue this expression around the point $q_f = 1/4$.

We note one useful fact before evaluating the integral expression for Z_{S^2}. As we present shortly, we evaluate this integral by deforming the contour of integration to the lower-half of the complex σ_b and σ_f planes and picking up poles of the integrand. We note that one may obtain the final integral by only considering poles with respect to σ_f and σ_b coming from the factors

$$\frac{\Gamma(-i\sigma_f - \frac{m_f}{2})^2}{\Gamma(1 + i\sigma_f - \frac{m_f}{2})^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\Gamma(-i\sigma_b - \frac{m_b}{2})^2}{\Gamma(1 + i\sigma_b - \frac{m_b}{2})^2} \tag{A.2}$$

when $\mathfrak{q}$ is a small positive number. This statement is due to the fact that the integral (2.18) picks up codimension-two poles of the integrand. All the codimension-two poles of the integrand lying in the relevant region — the product of the lower-half of the two complex planes — coincide with the contribution from the two terms (A.2).

Let us begin the evaluation of Z_{S^2} by completing the σ_f integral and compute

$$\sum_{m_f} e^{-im_f\theta_f} \int \frac{d\sigma_f}{2\pi} e^{-4\pi i \xi_f \sigma_f} \frac{\Gamma(-i\sigma_f - \frac{m_f}{2})^2}{\Gamma(1 + i\sigma_f - \frac{m_f}{2})^2} \frac{\Gamma(\mathfrak{q} + 2i\sigma_b + 2i\sigma_f + m_b + m_f)}{\Gamma(1 - \mathfrak{q} - 2i\sigma_b - 2i\sigma_f + m_b + m_f)} \,. \tag{A.3}$$

Taking the large ξ_f limit, we may deform the contour of integration downwards on the complex σ_f plane due to the exponential factor $e^{-4\pi i\xi_f\sigma_f}$ in the integrand. The integral becomes a sum of the residues of the poles of the integrand in the lower-half of the complex σ_f plane. As mentioned earlier, we only need to be concerned with the poles due to the gamma function $\Gamma(-i\sigma_f - \frac{m_f}{2})$ in the denominator. These are at the loci

$$-i\sigma_f - \frac{m_f}{2} = -n_f, \qquad n_f \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \quad \text{and} \quad n_f \geq m_f\,. \tag{A.4}$$

We note that $n_f \geq m_f$ since when $n_f < m_f$ the pole of $\Gamma(1 + i\sigma_f - \frac{m_f}{2})$ cancels this pole.

If the lowest degree of the Laurent expansion of the function $f(z)$ around $z = z_0$ is $-n$, the residue of $f(z)$ at $z = z_0$ can be found by

$$\operatorname*{Res}_{z=z_0} f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)}\frac{d^{n-1}}{d\alpha^{n-1}}(\alpha^n f(z_0+\alpha))|_{\alpha=0}\,. \tag{A.5}$$

Since the lowest degree of the Laurent expansion of the integrand at the loci (A.4) is -2, we can sum the residues of the integrand of (A.3) to obtain[4]

$$\sum_{n_f,p_f\geq 0}\left[\alpha^2\frac{\Gamma(-n_f+\alpha)^2}{\Gamma(1+p_f-\alpha)^2}\frac{\Gamma(\mathfrak{q}+2n_f+2i\sigma_b+m_b-2\alpha)}{\Gamma(1-\mathfrak{q}-2p_f-2i\sigma_b+m_b+2\alpha)}\bar{q}_f^{\,n_f-\alpha}q_f^{\,p_f-\alpha}\right]_\alpha\,, \tag{A.6}$$

where have defined

$$p_f \equiv n_f - m_f\,. \tag{A.7}$$

We use the notation

$$[\cdots]_\alpha \equiv \frac{d}{d\alpha}\,[\cdots]\,|_{\alpha=0} \tag{A.8}$$

$$[\cdots]_{\alpha\beta} \equiv \frac{d^2}{d\beta d\alpha}\,[\cdots]\,|_{\alpha=0,\beta=0} \tag{A.9}$$

for convenience throughout this appendix. Using the gamma function identity

$$\Gamma(z)\Gamma(1-z) = \frac{\pi}{\sin\pi z}\,, \tag{A.10}$$

equation (A.6) can be further reorganized into

$$\left[(q_f\bar{q}_f)^{-\alpha}\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{\sin\pi\alpha}\right)^2\frac{\sin\pi(\mathfrak{q}+2i\sigma_b-m_b-2\alpha)}{\pi}f_{i\sigma_b+\frac{m_b}{2}+\frac{\mathfrak{q}}{2},\alpha}(\bar{q}_f)f_{i\sigma_b-\frac{m_b}{2}+\frac{\mathfrak{q}}{2},\alpha}(q_f)\right]_\alpha\,, \tag{A.11}$$

where

$$f_{z,\alpha}(q) \equiv \sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{\Gamma(2n+2z-2\alpha)}{\Gamma(1+n-\alpha)^2}q^n = \frac{\Gamma(2z-2\alpha)}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)^2}{}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix}1, z-\alpha, z-\alpha+\frac{1}{2}\\ 1-\alpha, 1-\alpha\end{matrix}\,;\,4q\right]\,. \tag{A.12}$$

---

[4] We have actually used a version of the equation (A.5) by replacing $\alpha \to i\alpha$.

Plugging this result into the equation for Z_{S^2}, we get

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{S^2} = \Bigg[\sum_{m_b} e^{-i m_b \theta_b} \int \frac{d\sigma_b}{2\pi} e^{-4\pi i \xi_b \sigma_b} \frac{\Gamma(-i\sigma_b - \frac{m_b}{2})^2}{\Gamma(1 + i\sigma_b - \frac{m_b}{2})^2} \frac{\sin\pi(\mathfrak{q} + 2i\sigma_b - m_b - 2\alpha)}{\pi} \\ (q_f \bar{q}_f)^{-\alpha} \left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{\sin\pi\alpha}\right)^2 f_{i\sigma_b + \frac{m_b}{2} + \frac{\mathfrak{q}}{2}, \alpha}(\bar{q}_f) f_{i\sigma_b - \frac{m_b}{2} + \frac{\mathfrak{q}}{2}, \alpha}(q_f) \Bigg]_\alpha . \end{aligned} \tag{A.13}$$

Now we complete the integral with respect to σ_b. In the large ξ_b limit, we may deform the contour of integration for σ_b to the lower-half complex σ_b plane as was with the case of σ_f. The only poles of the integrand are at the loci

$$-i\sigma_b - \frac{m_b}{2} = -n_b, \qquad n_b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \quad \text{and} \quad n_b \geq m_b \,, \tag{A.14}$$

due to the gamma function $\Gamma(-i\sigma_b - \frac{m_b}{2})$. As was with the integral with respect to σ_f, defining

$$p_b \equiv n_b - m_b \,, \tag{A.15}$$

we can rewrite (A.13) as

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{S^2} = \Bigg[\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{\sin\pi\alpha}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\pi\beta}{\sin\pi\beta}\right)^2 (q_f \bar{q}_f)^{-\alpha} (q_b \bar{q}_b)^{-\beta} \left(\frac{\sin\pi(\mathfrak{q} - 2\alpha - 2\beta)}{\pi}\right) \\ \left(\sum_{n_f \geq 0} \frac{f_{n_f - \beta + \frac{\mathfrak{q}}{2}, \alpha}(\bar{q}_f)}{\Gamma(1 + n_f - \beta)^2} \bar{q}_b^{n_f}\right) \left(\sum_{p_f \geq 0} \frac{f_{p_f - \beta + \frac{\mathfrak{q}}{2}, \alpha}(q_f)}{\Gamma(1 + p_f - \beta)^2} q_b^{p_f}\right) \Bigg]_{\alpha\beta} . \end{aligned} \tag{A.16}$$

Now $f_{n_f - \beta + \mathfrak{q}/2, \alpha}(\bar{q}_f)$ and $f_{p_f - \beta + \mathfrak{q}/2, \alpha}(q_f)$ both have singularities at $q_f = 1/4$ due to the singularity of the hypergeometric function ${}_3F_2$ at unit argument. In fact

$$\begin{aligned} & f_{p_f - \beta + \frac{\mathfrak{q}}{2}, \alpha}(q_f) \\ & = \frac{\Gamma(-\frac{1}{2} + 2p_f + \mathfrak{q} - 2\beta)}{\sqrt{\pi} 2^{1 - 2p_f - \mathfrak{q} + 2\alpha + 2\beta}} {}_3F_2 \left[\begin{matrix} -\alpha, -\alpha, 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} - p_f - \frac{\mathfrak{q}}{2} + \beta - \alpha, 1 - p_f - \frac{\mathfrak{q}}{2} + \beta - \alpha \end{matrix} ; 1 \right] \\ & \quad \times (1 - 4q_f)^{1/2 - 2p_f - \mathfrak{q} + 2\beta} + \mathcal{O}((1 - 4q_f)^{3/2 - 2p_f - \mathfrak{q} + 2\beta}) \\ & = \frac{\Gamma(-\frac{1}{2} + 2p_f + \mathfrak{q} - 2\beta)}{\sqrt{\pi} 2^{1 - 2p_f - \mathfrak{q} + 2\alpha + 2\beta}} (1 - 4q_f)^{1/2 - 2p_f - \mathfrak{q} + 2\beta} + \mathcal{O}((1 - 4q_f)^{3/2 - 2p_f - \mathfrak{q} + 2\beta}) \,, \end{aligned} \tag{A.17}$$

where we have used results of [29].[5] In the field theory limit (1.7) — where we take $\epsilon \to 0$ — the subleading terms of $(1 - 4q_f)$ can be ignored unless $p_f = 0$. When $p_f = 0$, there is an order-one contribution we cannot ignore in the small $(1 - 4q_f)$ limit and the leading order expansion of $f_{p_f - \beta + \mathfrak{q}/2, \alpha}$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned} f_{-\beta + \frac{\mathfrak{q}}{2}, \alpha}(q_f) = & \frac{\Gamma(\mathfrak{q} - 2\alpha - 2\beta)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + 2\beta - \mathfrak{q})}{2^{\mathfrak{q} + 2\alpha - 2\beta}\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(1 - \mathfrak{q} - 2\alpha + 2\beta)} \\ & + \frac{\Gamma(-\frac{1}{2} + \mathfrak{q} - 2\beta)}{\sqrt{\pi} 2^{1 - \mathfrak{q} + 2\alpha + 2\beta}} (1 - 4q_f)^{1/2 - \mathfrak{q} + 2\beta} + \mathcal{O}((1 - 4q_f)) \,, \end{aligned} \tag{A.18}$$

---

[5] The the argument 0 appearing in the hypergeometric function in equation (A.17) forces it to have constant value 1.

Plugging in these expressions, we see that Z_{S^2} can indeed be written as an expansion of (Λ^4/u^2) in the limit (1.7) as

$$f_{p_f-\beta,\alpha}(q_f)q_b^{p_f} \propto \begin{cases} \epsilon^{1-2\mathfrak{q}}\sqrt{u}\left(\frac{\Lambda^4}{u^2}\right)^{p_f} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{3-2\mathfrak{q}}) & \text{when } p_f > 0 \\ C_0 + \epsilon^{1-2\mathfrak{q}}\sqrt{u}\left(\frac{\Lambda^4}{u^2}\right)^{p_f} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2) & \text{when } p_f = 0 \end{cases} \tag{A.19}$$

and likewise for its complex conjugate.

By evaluating (A.16) in the field theory limit, we obtain at leading order in ϵ

$$Z_{S^2} = Z_L \ln\epsilon + Z_0 + \epsilon^{2-4\mathfrak{q}} Z_{2-4\mathfrak{q}} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)\,. \tag{A.20}$$

Z_L and Z_0 are both independent of u. In fact, Z_L is a function of $\mathfrak{q}$

$$Z_L = -\frac{16\cos(\pi\mathfrak{q})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-\mathfrak{q})^2\Gamma(\mathfrak{q})^2}{2^{2\mathfrak{q}}\pi\Gamma(1-\mathfrak{q})^2}\,, \tag{A.21}$$

while Z_0 is of the form

$$Z_0 = f(\mathfrak{q}) + g(\mathfrak{q})(\ln\Lambda + \ln\bar{\Lambda})\,. \tag{A.22}$$

$Z_{2-4\mathfrak{q}}$ is given by

$$Z_{2-4\mathfrak{q}} = -8\pi\sin(\pi\mathfrak{q})Q\bar{Q} - 4\cos(\pi\mathfrak{q})\left(Q\bar{Q}_D + \bar{Q}Q_D\right)\,. \tag{A.23}$$

Q and Q_D are defined as

$$Q = (2u)^{-\mathfrak{q}}\sqrt{\frac{u}{\pi}}\sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{\Gamma(2n-\frac{1}{2}+\mathfrak{q})}{\Gamma(1+n)^2}\left(\frac{\Lambda^4}{4u^2}\right)^n \tag{A.24}$$

and

$$Q_D = (2u)^{-\mathfrak{q}}\sqrt{\frac{u}{\pi}}\sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{\Gamma(2n-\frac{1}{2}+\mathfrak{q})}{\Gamma(1+n)^2}\left(\psi(n+1) - \psi(2n-\frac{1}{2}+\mathfrak{q}) + \ln(2u/\Lambda^2)\right)\left(\frac{\Lambda^4}{4u^2}\right)^n\,. \tag{A.25}$$

Here ψ is the digamma function

$$\psi(z) = \Gamma'(z)/\Gamma(z)\,. \tag{A.26}$$

Therefore the quantum Kähler potential of $\mathcal{M}$ deformed by a small R-charge $\mathfrak{q}$ is given by

$$-\ln Z_{S^2} = -\ln(Z_L\ln\epsilon + Z_0) - \frac{\epsilon^{2-4\mathfrak{q}}}{\ln\epsilon}\frac{Z_{2-4\mathfrak{q}}}{Z_L} + (\text{sub-leading in } \epsilon) \tag{A.27}$$

in the field theory limit. We note that the first term can be "gauged away" by a Kähler transformation and does not affect the computation of the Kähler metric on the moduli space of the gauge theory. The Kähler metric of the $SU(2)$ SYM moduli space can be obtained from (A.27) by taking the small $\mathfrak{q}$ limit of

$$-\partial_u\partial_{\bar{u}}\ln Z_{S^2} = -\frac{\epsilon^{2-4\mathfrak{q}}}{\ln\epsilon}\frac{\partial_u\partial_{\bar{u}}Z_{2-4\mathfrak{q}}}{Z_L} + (\text{sub-leading in } \epsilon)\,. \tag{A.28}$$

We find that

$$\frac{\partial_u \partial_{\bar{u}} Z_{2-4\mathfrak{q}}}{Z_L} = \frac{\mathfrak{q}^2}{4} \partial_u \partial_{\bar{u}} \left(Q\bar{Q}_D + \bar{Q} Q_D \right) |_{\mathfrak{q}=0} + (\text{sub-leading in } \mathfrak{q})\,. \tag{A.29}$$

Meanwhile, the Seiberg-Witten Kähler potential can be written as

$$K_{SW}(u, \Lambda) = \frac{1}{2i} (\bar{a} a_D - a \bar{a}_D) \tag{A.30}$$

where

$$\frac{a}{\Lambda} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{dx \sqrt{x-w}}{\sqrt{x^2-1}} \tag{A.31}$$

$$\frac{a_D}{\Lambda} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \int_{1}^{w} \frac{dx \sqrt{x-w}}{\sqrt{x^2-1}}\,, \tag{A.32}$$

and $w \equiv u/\Lambda^2$. a and a_D can be written in terms of hypergeometric functions [30]

$$\frac{a}{\Lambda} = \sqrt{2\,(w+1)}\, F(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; \frac{2}{w+1}) \tag{A.33}$$

$$\frac{a_D}{\Lambda} = i \frac{(w-1)}{2}\, F(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 2; \frac{1-w}{2})\,. \tag{A.34}$$

Using hypergeometric identities, and the gamma function identity

$$\Gamma(2z) = \frac{2^{2z-1}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma(z) \Gamma(z + \frac{1}{2}), \tag{A.35}$$

one can show that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{a}{\Lambda} &= \sqrt{2\,(w+1)}\, F(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; \frac{2}{w+1}) \\ &= \sqrt{2w}\; F(-\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}; 1; \frac{1}{w^2}) \\ &= -\sqrt{\frac{w}{2\pi}} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\Gamma(2n - \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(1+n)^2} \left(\frac{1}{2w} \right)^{2n} = - \frac{Q}{\sqrt{2}\Lambda} \bigg|_{\mathfrak{q}=0}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{A.36}$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{a_D}{\Lambda} &= i \frac{(w-1)}{2}\, F(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 2; \frac{1-w}{2}) \\ &= i \frac{(w-1)}{2} \frac{(w+1)}{2} w^{-3/2} F(\frac{3}{4}, \frac{5}{4}; 2; 1 - \frac{1}{w^2}) \\ &= \frac{i\sqrt{w}}{4} \frac{1}{(-\frac{1}{4})(\frac{1}{4})} \lim_{c \to 0} \frac{1}{\Gamma(c)} F(-\frac{1}{4}; \frac{1}{4}; c; 1 - \frac{1}{w^2}) \\ &= \frac{-4i\sqrt{w}}{\Gamma(-1/4)^2 \Gamma(1/4)^2} \\ &\times \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\Gamma(n - \frac{1}{4}) \Gamma(n + \frac{1}{4})}{\Gamma(n+1)^2} \left(2\psi(n+1) - \psi(n - \frac{1}{4}) - \psi(n + \frac{1}{4}) + 2 \ln w \right) \frac{1}{w^{2n}} \\ &= -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}\pi} \sqrt{\frac{w}{\pi}} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\Gamma(2n - \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(n+1)^2} \left(\psi(n+1) - \psi(2n - \frac{1}{2}) + \ln(2w) \right) \frac{1}{(2w)^{2n}} \\ &= -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}\pi} \frac{Q_D}{\Lambda} \bigg|_{\mathfrak{q}=0}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{A.37}$$

From (A.28), (A.29), (A.36) and (A.37) we find that

$$-\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\left(-\frac{\ln\epsilon}{\epsilon^{2-4\mathfrak{q}}}\right)\partial_u\partial_{\bar{u}}\ln Z_{S^2}=\frac{\mathfrak{q}^2\pi}{2i}\partial_u\partial_{\bar{u}}(\bar{a}a_D-a\bar{a}_D)+(\text{sub-leading in }\mathfrak{q})\qquad(\text{A.38})$$

when $\mathfrak{q}$ is a small positive number. The result (1.6) follows accordingly.

References

[1] F. Benini and S. Cremonesi, “Partition functions of N=(2,2) gauge theories on S^2 and vortices,” arXiv:1206.2356 [hep-th].

[2] N. Doroud, J. Gomis, B. Le Floch and S. Lee, “Exact Results in D=2 Supersymmetric Gauge Theories,” arXiv:1206.2606 [hep-th].

[3] E. Witten, “Phases of N=2 theories in two-dimensions,” Nucl. Phys. B **403**, 159 (1993) [hep-th/9301042].

[4] K. Hori and D. Tong, “Aspects of Non-Abelian Gauge Dynamics in Two-Dimensional N=(2,2) Theories,” JHEP **0705**, 079 (2007) [hep-th/0609032].

[5] R. Donagi and E. Sharpe, “GLSM's for partial flag manifolds,” J. Geom. Phys. **58**, 1662 (2008) [arXiv:0704.1761 [hep-th]].

[6] K. Hori, “Duality In Two-Dimensional (2,2) Supersymmetric Non-Abelian Gauge Theories,” arXiv:1104.2853 [hep-th].

[7] H. Jockers, V. Kumar, J. M. Lapan, D. R. Morrison and M. Romo, “Nonabelian 2D Gauge Theories for Determinantal Calabi-Yau Varieties,” arXiv:1205.3192 [hep-th].

[8] H. Jockers, V. Kumar, J. M. Lapan, D. R. Morrison and M. Romo, “Two-Sphere Partition Functions and Gromov-Witten Invariants,” arXiv:1208.6244 [hep-th].

[9] J. Gomis and S. Lee, “Exact Kahler Potential from Gauge Theory and Mirror Symmetry,” arXiv:1210.6022 [hep-th].

[10] S. Cecotti and C. Vafa, “Topological antitopological fusion,” Nucl. Phys. B **367**, 359 (1991).

[11] S. Kachru, A. Klemm, W. Lerche, P. Mayr and C. Vafa, “Nonperturbative results on the point particle limit of N=2 heterotic string compactifications,” Nucl. Phys. B **459**, 537 (1996) [hep-th/9508155].

[12] S. H. Katz, A. Klemm and C. Vafa, “Geometric engineering of quantum field theories,” Nucl. Phys. B **497**, 173 (1997) [hep-th/9609239].

[13] S. Katz, P. Mayr and C. Vafa, “Mirror symmetry and exact solution of 4-D N=2 gauge theories: 1.,” Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **1**, 53 (1998) [hep-th/9706110].

[14] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, “Electric - magnetic duality, monopole condensation, and confinement in N=2 supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory,” Nucl. Phys. B **426**, 19 (1994) [Erratum-ibid. B **430**, 485 (1994)] [hep-th/9407087].

[15] N. A. Nekrasov, “Seiberg-Witten Prepotential from Instanton Counting,” Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **7** (2004) 831 [hep-th/0206161].

[16] A. Iqbal and A. -K. Kashani-Poor, “Instanton counting and Chern-Simons theory,” Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **7**, 457 (2004) [hep-th/0212279].

[17] A. Iqbal and A. -K. Kashani-Poor, “SU(N) geometries and topological string amplitudes,” Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **10**, 1 (2006) [hep-th/0306032].

[18] T. J. Hollowood, A. Iqbal and C. Vafa, “Matrix models, geometric engineering and elliptic genera,” JHEP **0803**, 069 (2008) [hep-th/0310272].

[19] T. Eguchi and H. Kanno, “Topological strings and Nekrasov’s formulas,” JHEP **0312**, 006 (2003) [hep-th/0310235].

[20] D. R. Morrison and M. R. Plesser, “Summing the instantons: Quantum cohomology and mirror symmetry in toric varieties,” Nucl. Phys. B **440**, 279 (1995) [hep-th/9412236].

[21] K. Hori and C. Vafa, “Mirror symmetry,” hep-th/0002222.

[22] F. Denef, “Les Houches Lectures on Constructing String Vacua,” arXiv:0803.1194 [hep-th].

[23] A. Strominger, “Open p-branes,” Phys. Lett. B **383**, 44 (1996) [hep-th/9512059].

[24] E. Witten, “Phase transitions in M theory and F theory,” Nucl. Phys. B **471**, 195 (1996) [hep-th/9603150].

[25] A. E. Lawrence and N. Nekrasov, “Instanton sums and five-dimensional gauge theories,” Nucl. Phys. B **513**, 239 (1998) [hep-th/9706025].

[26] N. Seiberg, “Supersymmetry and Nonperturbative beta Functions,” Phys. Lett. B **206**, 75 (1988).

[27] M. Billo, F. Denef, P. Fre, I. Pesando, W. Troost, A. Van Proeyen and D. Zanon, “Special geometry of Calabi-Yau compactifications near a rigid limit,” Fortsch. Phys. **47**, 133 (1999) [hep-th/9801140].

[28] T. Eguchi and Y. Tachikawa, “Rigid limit in N=2 supergravity and weak-gravity conjecture,” JHEP **0708**, 068 (2007) [arXiv:0706.2114 [hep-th]].

[29] W. Bühring, “The behavior at unit argument of the hypergeometric function 3F2,” SIAM J. Math. Anal. **18**, 1227 (1987).

[30] A. Bilal, “Duality in N=2 SUSY SU(2) Yang-Mills theory: A Pedagogical introduction to the work of Seiberg and Witten,” hep-th/9601007.